



Development of a polarized-neutron imaging technique at the China Advanced Research Reactor

Zheng-Yao Li¹ · Tian-Hao Wang^{2,3} · Si-Qin Meng¹ · Jun-Pei Zhang^{2,3} · Li-Jie Hao¹ · Jian Tang^{2,3} · Tian-Yun Wang¹ · Shi-Hao Ruan¹ · Hong-Liang Wang¹ · Mei-Mei Wu¹ · Long Tian^{2,3} · Bin Wang^{2,3} · Ahmed Salman^{2,3} · Lin-Feng He¹ · Xin Tong^{2,3} · Dong-Feng Chen¹

Received: 27 June 2024 / Revised: 14 November 2024 / Accepted: 15 November 2024 / Published online: 26 May 2026

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. (Science Press), Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Nuclear Society 2026

Abstract

In contrast to conventional neutron imaging by measuring the attenuation contrast, polarized-neutron imaging (PNI) has proved to be a powerful tool for investigating the spatial distribution of magnetic fields inside and around bulk samples owing to the intrinsic magnetic moment of neutrons. This technique benefits from the measurement of the cumulative precession of the neutron polarization passing through a magnetic field. We report the recent development of the PNI capability at the China Advanced Research Reactor (CARR), where two neutron imaging instruments (thermal and cold) have been established. To further develop and realize the PNI technique, a PNI facility consisting of a double-crystal pyrolytic graphite monochromator, supermirror polarizer with three parallel V-shaped cavities and an in situ optically pumped ³He neutron spin filter as a neutron spin analyzer was successfully developed and tested based on an established cold neutron imaging instrument. This setup will be beneficial for enhancing neutron imaging and neutron optics in CARR in the future.

Keywords Neutron radiography · Polarized-neutron imaging · Magnetic imaging · Magnetic field · Polarizing supermirror

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0406004) and the key scientific instrument by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11527810). Development of the guide field and magnetic simulation is sponsored by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12075265 and U2032219).

✉ Lin-Feng He
helinfeng@ciae.ac.cn

✉ Xin Tong
tongxin@ihep.ac.cn

✉ Dong-Feng Chen
dongfeng@ciae.ac.cn

¹ Neutron Scattering Laboratory, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China

² Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³ Spallation Neutron Source Science Center, Dongguan 523803, China

1 Introduction

Neutrons with no net electric charge mainly interact with the nucleus of an atom; hence, neutrons penetrate deep into thick samples with adequate contrast. Moreover, neutrons are sensitive to light elements (such as H and Li) in many condensed matter samples and can distinguish adjacent elements in the periodic table and isotopes (such as ¹H, ²H and ³H). Consequently, similar to X-ray imaging, neutrons serve as ideal probes for the nondestructive detection of internal structural defects in objects at the macroscopic level. Distinct from X-rays and electrons, their unique properties have made neutron radiography and tomography, primarily in transmission mode, a valuable complement to X-ray imaging techniques for material analysis. Since its first report in 1935, this method has been extensively developed over the past few decades using a white beam. Conventional neutron imaging techniques rely on measuring the intensity attenuation of a transmitted neutron beam as it passes through a sample [1–5].

In recent years, advances in neutron imaging techniques and data processing across various neutron sources

have significantly expanded their applications [6–10]. These developments, including both direct and indirect methods [11–14], have been particularly important in many scientific research fields such as materials science [15, 16], renewable energy [17, 18], lithium-ion battery technology [19–23], civil engineering [24–27] and the preservation of cultural heritage [28, 29]. Moreover, to satisfy the various nondestructive requirements in several targeted scientific fields, several specific neutron imaging methods have been exploited. For example, energy-selective neutron imaging and neutron Bragg-edge imaging are currently used to investigate crystal-engineered materials. In addition, a neutron phase-contrast imaging method employing a grating interferometer has been introduced [9, 30–33]. In addition to conventional imaging by thermal and cold neutrons, fast neutrons with relatively high energies have also been exploited, which can be used for imaging applications such as isotope analysis [34].

However, visualizing the magnetic distribution and flux generated by a magnet using conventional neutron imaging techniques is a significant challenge. Neutrons possess another unique property in the form of a nonzero intrinsic magnetic moment that lies antiparallel to their internal angular momentum. This allows neutrons to interact with the magnetic field deep inside the solid matter, which is not possible with traditional field probes. Therefore, the distribution of magnetic induction inside a solid magnetic material and in free space can be nondestructively visualized (in terms of the magnetic interaction with spin-polarized neutrons) through imaging with polarized neutrons (only one spin state). The polarized-neutron imaging (PNI) technique was first realized by the CONRAD instrument at Helmholtz Centre Berlin, enabling clear observation and quantitative analysis of the trapped three-dimensional magnetic flux distribution within a polycrystalline lead cylinder below the critical temperature [35]. Subsequently, various PNI facilities have been designed and constructed using various neutron sources [36–39]. Established PNI is employed to investigate the spatial magnetic fields inside and outside matter, magnetic domains and magnetic phase transitions, inhomogeneous ferromagnets, Meissner effect and flux pinning, where conventional neutron imaging is not suitable [40–45]. For example, the skin effect in a bulk aluminum conductor has been observed and theoretically calculated, indicating an inhomogeneous distribution of the electric current and resulting magnetic field [45]. Owing to the severe polarization loss of polarized neutrons passing through a ferromagnetic material, PNI has also been used to investigate the phase transition process from the ferromagnetic to the paramagnetic state [1].

2 Polarized neutron

Neutrons are subatomic particles with a spin of quantum number $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and an angular momentum of $\pm \frac{1}{2} \hbar$. The neutron spin is, therefore, easily influenced by a magnetic field owing to its intrinsic magnetic moment ($\mu = -9.66 \times 10^{-27} \text{ J T}^{-1}$), which is usually aligned antiparallel to the neutron spin. When neutrons pass through an applied external magnetic field ($\vec{B}$), their interaction with the magnetic field can change their motion behavior. According to the Schrödinger wave equation, the time-dependent motion of spin vector ($\vec{S}$) of neutrons can be expressed as follows [46, 47]:

$$\frac{d\vec{S}(t)}{dt} = \gamma[\vec{S}(t) \times \vec{B}(t)], \quad (1)$$

where γ represents the gyromagnetic ratio of the neutrons ($-1.832 \times 10^8 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{ T}^{-1}$). The change in the neutron spin in an external magnetic field is known as Larmor precession, which always occurs at a specific frequency $\omega_L = \gamma B$, where B is the scalar magnitude of the magnetic field ($B = |\vec{B}|$). When neutrons with a wavelength of λ travel through a magnetic field with a velocity ($v = h/m\lambda$) adiabatically, the wavelength-dependent precession angle ($\varphi(\lambda)$) can be described by a path (s) integral [35, 42, 47]:

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \omega_L t = \frac{\gamma m \lambda}{h} \int B ds, \quad (2)$$

where t represents the time required for neutrons to pass through the magnetic field ($t = s/v$), m represents the remaining mass of a neutron ($1.675 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$) and h represents Planck's constant ($h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$). Therefore, the precession angle is related to the wavelength (λ) and is proportional to the magnetic field strength (B), according to Eq. (2), indicating the strong impact of the applied magnetic field strength on Larmor precession. As neutrons propagate through an applied magnetic field caused by either an external current source or localized magnetization, the Larmor precession of neutron polarization is closely dependent on the magnetic field distribution along neutron's motion trajectory. Therefore, as defined in Eqs. (1) and (2), the magnetic field distribution can be deduced by analyzing the accumulated shift in the neutron polarization.

The PNI technique, which combines neutron polarization analysis and neutron imaging techniques, can be used to visualize magnetic flux by measuring the variations in neutron polarization. In comparison with conventional attenuation-based neutron imaging, the intensity of the transmitted neutrons is further modulated by neutron polarization (P) [40, 48, 49]. Polarization P can be obtained through polarization analysis owing to only two possible neutron

spin orientations, including the spin-up and spin-down states caused by Zeeman splitting in a magnetic field,

$$P = \cos \varphi(\lambda) = \frac{I_{\text{up}} - I_{\text{down}}}{I_{\text{up}} + I_{\text{down}}}, \quad (3)$$

where I_{up} and I_{down} are the neutron intensities recorded in spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. The polarization analysis process introduced during the imaging tests first generated polarized neutrons before the sample and then examined the polarization change along a certain direction after passing through the studied sample. When performing polarization analysis, the neutron polarization information is converted into an additional intensity with respect to the conventional transmission attenuation contrast $I_{\text{a}(x,z)}$. According to Eq. (3), the contrast variation introduced by Larmor precession of the polarization vector in front of the polarization analyzer within a magnetic field can be described as $I_{\text{m}(x,z)}$ [35, 50]:

$$I_{(x,z)} = I_{0(x,z)} \cdot \exp \left[- \int \sum (s) ds \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos \varphi(x, z)], \quad (4)$$

$$I_{\text{a}(x,z)} = I_{0(x,z)} \cdot \exp \left[- \int \sum (s) ds \right], \quad (5)$$

$$I_{\text{m}(x,z)} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos \varphi(x, z)], \quad (6)$$

where $I_{(x,z)}$ and $I_{0(x,z)}$ are the recorded intensities after the polarization analyzer and the intensity of the incident beam, respectively. $\sum$ is the linear attenuation coefficient of the studied sample caused by conventional neutron imaging, and (x, z) is the corresponding coordinate in the detector plane of the imaging detector system. Note that the polarization vector can be viewed as the average of all the spin states for a neutron beam. Using this method, variations in the polarization orientation for a given path are indicative of the magnetic field distribution when polarized neutrons traverse the magnetic field.

3 Design of the PNI

Developing neutron imaging techniques requires a high-quality source, as high-flux neutron sources can reduce measurement time for dynamic processes [10, 11, 17]. The China Advanced Research Reactor (CARR), a high-flux research neutron source, focuses on neutron imaging capability. Two neutron imaging instruments have been established at the China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE). Cold neutron imaging instrument at the CNGC beamline provides a maximum neutron flux of $1.87 \times 10^8 \text{ n cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 30 MW

of CARR. The aperture system enables L/D values from 160 to 3200, suitable for energy-selective and high-resolution imaging. L/D is the ratio of distance (L) between aperture system and sample to diameter (D) of applied aperture. Various PNI facilities have been constructed at different neutron sources using optical devices such as neutron polarizers and spin flipper, since the first report by CONRAD instrument at Helmholtz Centre Berlin [36–39]. To enhance the neutron imaging capability, developing PNI technique on the cold neutron imaging instrument at CARR is urgent, considering future research of magnetic domains, phase transitions and Meissner effect in superconductors.

The PNI setup consists of a double-crystal graphite monochromator after an aperture system, a supermirror polarizer with three V-shaped cavities coupled with RF flipper, a guide magnetic field, electromagnetic coils and a polarized ^3He neutron spin filter (NSF) using a spin-exchange optical pumping (SEOP) ^3He cell as analyzer, followed by an imaging detector system [35, 36, 48]. An aperture system of 7 holes with varying sizes in the upstream tunes the incident beam profile. A pinhole with different radii behind the supermirror polarizer tunes spatial resolution, L/D (D is the pinhole diameter) and neutron flux, as shown in Fig. 1. The beam generates coverage of 100 mm diameter at the sample position and field of view (FOV) of 110 mm $\times$ 110 mm at the camera, illuminating the neutron camera scintillator. To reduce background noise and activation, the monochromator, polarizer, pinhole, guide field and NSF system are covered by ^{10}B -doped rubber shielding.

3.1 Double-crystal graphite monochromator

Because neutron polarization precession is wavelength-dependent in an external magnetic field according to Eq. (2), a polarized monochromatic neutron beam is required. White beam with various neutron wavelengths leads to reduced measured polarization through dephasing. Therefore, monochromating the white beam from the reactor is critical for the PNI setup. This can be achieved via time-of-flight method at pulsed neutron sources, or through a monochromatization device. Devices like mechanical neutron velocity selector and double-crystal monochromator can select neutrons with desired wavelength from white beam at continuous reactor sources like CARR [33, 51]. The mechanical neutron velocity selector's key component is a rotating drum with helical lamellae coated with neutron absorption material. It allows neutrons with certain velocity to pass through, selecting wavelengths by drum rotation speed. The neutron wavelength (λ) depends on rotation speed: $\lambda = h\Phi/(mL\omega)$, where h and m are Planck's constant and neutron rest mass, L is rotor length, Φ is lamella helical angle and ω is rotor angular velocity. The main factors influencing wavelength resolution ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda$)





Fig. 1 (Color online) **a** Schematic illustration of PNI setup at the beamline CNGC at the CARR. **b** Physical picture of the designed PNI setup

of a velocity selector include distance (d) between adjacent lamellae, radius of rotor (R) and helical angle (Φ), as described by $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = d/(R\Phi)$. A desired wavelength from an incident white beam can be selected through a double-crystal monochromator, based on Bragg's law by a crystal material: $n\lambda = 2d \sin \theta$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), where d , θ and n are the lattice distance, diffraction angle and order of diffraction. The neutrons are reflected parallel to the incident beam by a second crystal, creating a monochromatic beam. Higher-order reflection generates secondary neutrons, decreasing the final wavelength resolution. Wavelength resolution and neutron flux are key parameters for evaluating monochromatization devices. The selection of a device should be guided by experimental requirements. A double-crystal monochromator offers high wavelength resolution with lower neutron flux, while a neutron velocity selector provides lower resolution but higher flux [33,

51]. High wavelength resolution suits energy-selective imaging and scattering experiments, but requires longer measuring time.

A double-crystal graphite monochromator is installed at the cold neutron imaging beamline, composed of pyrolytic graphite single crystals with a mosaicity of 0.8° and size of $70 \text{ mm} \times 70 \text{ mm}$, as shown in Fig. 2. Through double reflection by the two crystals, neutrons with a required wavelength can be defined from the incident white beam without changing beam direction in Fig. 2. Previous experiment demonstrated a wavelength resolution ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda$) of 2.6% for neutrons with $4 \text{ \AA}$ wavelength and beam size of $100 \text{ mm} \times 150 \text{ mm}$ through time-of-flight measurement [52]. The beam center height is lowered by 90 mm after selecting the desired neutron wavelength compared to the incident beam.

Fig. 2 (Color online) Double-crystal graphite monochromator for the PNI experiment



3.2 Polarizer coupled with an RF flipper

To develop polarized-neutron techniques and perform polarized-neutron experiments, including scattering and imaging, the production of polarized-neutron beams (only spin-up or spin-down) is a prerequisite at the beamline [53]. Currently, three main methods exist for generating polarized-neutron beams, each with its unique advantages. The first is the use of polarizing crystals such as $\text{Co}_{92}\text{Fe}_8$ and Heusler alloys (Cu_2MnAl), which can polarize monochromatic neutrons through preferential Bragg diffraction. Cu_2MnAl has a high reflectivity and relatively low absorption in contrast to $\text{Co}_{92}\text{Fe}_8$ [54]. The second is the use of polarizing supermirror mainly including cavity and bender types, which are highly efficient and suitable for a wide neutron wavelength range. However, polarizing supermirror have difficulty polarizing neutrons with short wavelengths (below 2.0 Å) or neutron beams with large divergence. In addition, the polarizing S-bender (generally in reflection mode) has a small device size and relatively high polarization, but can increase the beam divergence and decrease the resolution function; therefore, a collimator is always required for imaging applications [35]. A polarizing V-shaped cavity supermirror (generally in transmission mode) can maintain the beam direction by transmitting neutrons with only one spin state (spin-up or spin-down). However, it generates a low polarization and increases the total device length, which takes up more precious space at the beamline relative to the S-bender [55, 56]. In contrast, the polarized ^3He NSF has been rapidly developed and used to polarize neutron beams in recent years owing to the high polarization of beams with large divergence and neutrons with a broad energy band [57–61]. Polarized ^3He NSF operates on the principle of preferential absorption by polarized ^3He nuclei, selectively transmitting neutrons with a spin parallel to ^3He and absorbing neutrons with a spin antiparallel to ^3He . In contrast, the polarized ^3He NSF can not only polarize neutrons

with various wavelengths, but also a neutron beam with a large divergence. One of the major disadvantages of polarized ^3He NSF is the polarization loss with an increase in operation time, which occurs because of several factors, such as collision with the glass cell wall and stray magnetic fields around the device [57–61].

A V-shaped transmission cavity is adopted as a neutron supermirror polarizer, instead of a bender polarizer, to produce polarized neutrons and maintain beam divergence [55, 56]. Various V-shaped cavity configurations with different m values were analyzed in the 2–8 Å wavelength range (in Fig. 3) using McStas software [35, 38]. Considering available space and cost, a supermirror polarizer with three parallel V-shaped cavities (Parallel 3Vs) in the horizontal direction was selected, as shown in Fig. 3. Si wafers coated with Fe–Si polarizing supermirror ($m = 3.3$) transmit spin-up neutrons and absorb spin-down neutrons. The neutron beam divergence is preserved through the polarizer along the neutron flight path (y -axis), as shown in Fig. 1. The polarization is parallel to the z -direction. An adiabatic π radio-frequency flipper (RF flipper), comprising static vertical and radio-frequency longitudinal magnetic fields, is coupled with the polarizer to tune polarized-neutron spin direction for polarization testing [62].

3.3 Guide field and electromagnetic coils

A guide field along the polarized-neutron flight path is required to preserve neutron polarization, as neutrons would depolarize through interaction with earth and stray magnetic fields otherwise, unlike the white beam. A guide field is designed behind the polarizing device, as shown in Fig. 4. The total length is 6000 mm, consisting of three 2000 mm segments. The segmented design allows manageable installation and convenient switching for different instrument lengths. A series of pure iron rods with NdFeB permanent magnets at both ends is distributed along the z -direction at

Fig. 3 (Color online) Different configurations of V-shaped cavity and the corresponding physical picture of the designed supermirror polarizer with parallel “3V”-shaped cavities (Parallel 3Vs, beam direction is from right to left in the physical picture)





Fig. 4 (Color online) **a** Simulated uniform distribution of magnetic field between the two pole plates and **b** physical picture of the guide field system with permanent magnets and Al flight tube at vacuum state



100 mm intervals, sandwiched between two pole plates of pure Fe material (in the xy plane). The pole plates and iron rods form a low-reluctance path for magnetic flux from the NdFeB magnets, distributing it uniformly between the polar plates. The vertical guide field strength is 4.7 mT, sufficient to maintain neutron polarization and tolerate external disturbances 10 times stronger than earth's field. The magnetic field is directed from the lower pole plate to the upper one. Evacuated aluminum tubes with thin aluminum windows are positioned between the pole plates along the neutron flight path to reduce atmospheric scattering, as shown in Fig. 4.

Owing to the polarization direction of polarized ^3He spin filter and guide field along y -axis and z -axis respectively, electromagnetic coils made of pure Cu wires are installed to adiabatically rotate and maintain the polarization along y -axis in Fig. 1. The magnetic field amplitude can be modulated by changing the coil current based on experimental requirements. The coil field strength enables an adiabatic transition between vertical permanent magnet and horizontal coil-magnetized sections. Notably, owing to the trigonometric function behavior, a slight non-adiabatic transition with the final polarization vector at 15° from the z -axis causes minimal longitudinal polarization loss of 0.035; however, it maintains a significant transverse component of 0.26. Therefore, careful simulation and implementation of field

direction transition are crucial. The simulated adiabatic parameter k exceeds 10 across the beam profile at shortest operating wavelength, enabling good adiabatic transition with low transverse components.

3.4 Polarized ^3He spin filter as analyzer

For polarized-neutron technique, both production and measurement of polarized neutrons require specialized equipment, realized by polarizers before and after the sample. The first polarizer produces polarized neutrons, like the designed polarizing 3V-shaped cavity supermirror, while the second one analyzes polarization changes after neutrons pass through the sample. Polarized ^3He spin filter is ideal for PNI experiments, having a large uniform aperture, high polarization across neutron energies and wide acceptance angle. The polarized ^3He NSF is installed after the sample for the PNI setup at CARR as the polarization analyzer. Two methods exist to polarize ^3He gas in boron-free glass cell: metastable-exchange optical pumping (MEOP) and SEOP [63]. SEOP produces nuclear polarization slowly at high pressures (0.5–1.3 bar), while MEOP works rapidly at low pressures (mbar level). SEOP is now preferred as it meets most high-pressure applications [62].

The polarization of the ^3He atoms (P_{He}) can be defined as [64]

$$P_{\text{He}} = P_A \frac{K_{\text{SE}}[A]}{K_{\text{SE}}[A](1 + X) + \Gamma_{\text{He}}}, \quad (7)$$

where P_A represents the alkali metal electron polarization (such as Rb), K_{SE} is the spin-exchange rate coefficient, $[A]$ is the alkali metal density (such as Rb), X is a parameter that affects P_{He} via a large slope of the relationship between the Γ_{He} and alkali metal density $[A]$ relative to the spin-exchange rate, and Γ_{He} is the spin-relaxation rate of ^3He atoms.

The neutron polarization (P_n) can be deduced according to the ^3He polarization (P_{He}) by measuring the neutron transmission in the parallel and antiparallel directions when a polarized ^3He NSF is used as a spin flipper [59]:

$$P_n = \frac{T_{\text{up}} - T_{\text{down}}}{T_{\text{up}} + T_{\text{down}}} = \tanh(n\sigma l P_{\text{He}}), \quad (8)$$

where T_{up} and T_{down} represent the transmission of the neutron polarization parallel and antiparallel to the ^3He polarization, respectively. n represents the density of ^3He atoms in the cell, σ represents the absorption cross section of ^3He atoms related to the neutron energy and l represents the flight distance of neutrons passing through the ^3He NSF.

A polarized ^3He NSF using the SEOP method based on transmission through polarized ^3He gas in a boron-free glass cell serves as an analyzer to detect the polarization change after the polarized beam interacts with the magnetic field of the studied sample. Compared to the off situ system, the in situ integrated SEOP ^3He NSF system provides a long-term (over seven days) and stable ^3He polarization of up to 65%, as calibrated in early reports [38, 57, 59, 65]. The polarization of the ^3He of the spin filter is along the y-axis, as shown in Fig. 1, which is connected to the guide field in the sample region. Through the implicit adiabatic fast-passage (AFP) NMR function, the in situ ^3He spin filter selects the neutron spin state after the sample, thus completing the neutron polarization measurement and analysis process. To support the PNI experiments, the in situ ^3He spin filter has a compact mobile design to reduce the entire length along the neutron flight direction owing to the large distance between the sample and scintillator screen of the detector, which can negatively affect the final spatial resolution. The NSF is composed of a ^3He gas–boron-free glass cell with a diameter of 80 mm, covering a 50 mm × 50 mm effective sample area. Consequently, the measured intensity after NSF contains the superposition of the conventional attenuation of neutron imaging and the precession angles of the polarization vector. The polarization change and resulting magnetic field

information can be obtained through normalization processing and contrast deduction using conventional neutron imaging.

3.5 Detector system

A scintillator screen with a thickness of 100 μm and composed of $^6\text{LiF/ZnS}$ (Ag) powder was used for neutron detection; it produced a peak emission wavelength of 450 nm. The experimental images were recorded using a water-cooled Andor charge-coupled camera (DW936 IkonL) system with 2048 × 2048 pixels operating at -60°C to reduce possible electronic noise. Figure 1(b) shows a practical physical picture of the PNI setup at the CARR, designed according to the above-mentioned series of optical devices.


4 Measurements of key parameters

To evaluate the designed PNI setup, measurements and experiments will be discussed. Polarized-neutron experiments use neutrons with longer wavelength because cold neutrons are suitable. However, cold source at CARR was not operational during this experiment, with insufficient cold neutrons at the CNGC beamline. Neutrons with wavelength of 2.7 $\text{\AA}$ were used for polarization tests, balancing polarizer performance and secondary-wavelength neutron contamination, due to minimal contamination from higher-order reflections of the double-crystal monochromator. This leads to lower total polarization due to limitations of the designed supermirror polarizer.

The neutron flux before the aperture system is $4 \times 10^7 \text{ n cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (thermal neutron spectrum) at 30 MW. A pinhole with diameter $D = 3 \text{ mm}$ after the polarizer provides L/D of 2100 ($L = 6300 \text{ mm}$, distance between pinhole and sample for PNI setup), improving spatial resolution and reducing beam divergence. Data acquisition takes 15 mins per polarization test, including high-flux spin state, low-flux spin state and polarization state flip time. Both RF flipper after polarizing supermirror and ^3He NSF can adiabatically flip polarization state according to Eq. (3). ^3He NSF is used to flip polarization state during experiments, recording images with spin-up and spin-down states. Figure 5 shows initial experiments evaluating the PNI setup.

A Siemens star test shows spatial resolution better than 400 μm , as in Fig. 5(a–b). The effective FOV exceeds 50 mm × 50 mm, marked by a square frame in Fig. 5(a). Figure 5(c–d) demonstrates 60% uniform neutron polarization across the 80 mm ^3He cell region at 2.7 $\text{\AA}$, covering the FOV. This polarization results from the supermirror polarizer, guide field and ^3He analyzer. Polarization could improve using a cold source to shift toward cold neutron, enhancing polarizing supermirror efficiency. Though

Fig. 5 (Color online) **a** FOV of over 50 mm × 50 mm, **b** spatial resolution of better than 400 μm using Siemens star and **c, d** total polarization with a homogeneous distribution at 2.7 Å. The acquired FOV in PNI mode



polarization is moderate due to 2.7 Å neutrons, the PNI setup parameters suffice for extracting magnetic information, albeit requiring longer experimental time.

The magnetic field distribution of a solenoid has been well studied and can examine the practicability of the PNI setup [46]. A solenoid generates a controlled magnetic field for the PNI measurement, along with motors and superconductors. The solenoid is placed perpendicular to beam direction between the last guide field and in situ ^3He polarization analyzer in Fig. 6. The cylindrical solenoid (30 mm in diameter, 80 mm in height) is powered by a DC supply with 50 ppm/°C stability, generating a horizontal magnetic field orthogonal to the guide field. Two electric currents of 3 A and 5 A generate calculated magnetic fields of 24 G and 34 G at the solenoid center. Though the systematic polarization is not very high compared to other neutron sources, the magnetic field can be detected through contrast across the solenoid body in Fig. 7(a–b). With increasing current, additional precession is detected at the solenoid center due to longer neutron flight path. For understanding the phenomena, theoretical simulation has been performed. The Larmor precession caused by the solenoid magnetic field is calculated through Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) as:

$$P(\lambda) = \cos\left(\frac{\gamma m}{h} \cdot B \cdot \lambda \cdot l\right), \quad (9)$$

where l denotes the neutron path length within the solenoid. Neutron polarization is related to spin precession inside the solenoid, as defined by Eq. (9) were calculated and plotted across the centerline of the solenoid in Fig. 7(c–d). The theoretical results are consistent with the experimentally acquired data, indicating the practicability of the designed PNI setup for CARR.

5 Discussion and summary

For several applications in typical macroscopic magnetic samples, such as electrical motors, transformers, superconductor wires and magnetic samples, the established PNI setup with a resolution better than 400 μm and an FOV greater than 50 mm × 50 mm is sufficient to characterize the most significant magnetic behavior. Therefore, the developed PNI setup at the CARR can be applied to study magnetic transitions and detect magnetic leakage in the motor's rotor or transformer cores. It can also be used to observe the Meissner effect in superconductors or quenching in sample environments.

Fig. 6 (Color online) Configuration of the tested solenoid installed on the developed PNI setup





Fig. 7 (Color online) Comparison between experimental and initial theoretical fitting results for the tested solenoid



In summary, a PNI facility was successfully designed and constructed for the first time, based on an established cold neutron imaging instrument in the guide hall at CARR. It is equipped with a double-crystal graphite monochromator, a supermirror polarizer featuring three V-shaped cavities and an in situ polarized ^3He NSF. The experimental results demonstrate that the designed PNI setup can provide relatively high polarization with $2.7 \text{ \AA}$ neutrons and good spatial resolution with a large FOV, significantly improving the neutron imaging capability at CARR. Finally, a clear magnetic field distribution inside the solenoid was easily observed using the designed PNI setup, although the cold source was not operated during the experiments. In addition to the solenoid samples used in this study, a series of other scientific experiments, such as superconductors and motors, were also carried out simultaneously. Detailed results combined with the finite element method are currently being analyzed and will be published elsewhere. In addition, the capability of the designed PNI setup at CARR can be further enhanced in the future through optimization of the gas pressure and length of the ^3He cell inside the in situ ^3He NSF, operation of a cold source at CARR and introduction of different sample environment devices involving temperature, pressure and/or field conditions.

Acknowledgements The setup of the sample environment setup was supported by the research conducted at the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Extreme Conditions. The authors would like to thank Andreas Ostermann and Felix Rucker for providing the McStas code for the supermirror polarizer. The authors also appreciate discussions with Peter Böni and Michael Schneider at SwissNeutronics and Prof. Peng Cheng at Renmin University of China.

Author Contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis

were performed by Zheng-Yao Li, Tian-Hao Wang and Si-Qin Meng. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Zheng-Yao Li, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data Availability The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Science Data Bank at <https://doi.org/31253.11.sciencedb.j00186.00976> and <https://doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00976>.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. N. Kardjilov, I. Manke, A. Hilger et al., Neutron imaging in materials science. *Mater. Today* **14**, 248–256 (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021\(11\)70139-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(11)70139-0)
2. N. Kardjilov, I. Manke, R. Woracek et al., Advances in neutron imaging. *Mater. Today* **21**, 652–672 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.03.001>
3. E. Lehmann, D. Mannes, A. Kaestner et al., Recent applications of neutron imaging methods. *Phys. Proce.* **88**, 5–12 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2017.06.055>
4. S. Han, M. Wu, H. Wang et al., Design of cold neutron imaging facility at China Advanced Research Reactor. *Phys. Proce.* **43**, 73–78 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2013.03.009>
5. H. Huo, H. Li, Y. Wu et al., Development of Cold Neutron Radiography Facility (CNRF) based on China Mianyang Research Reactor (CMRR). *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **953**, 163063 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.163063>
6. S. Wang, H. Huo, W. Yin et al., Prototype design and experimental study of fission neutron imaging at China Mianyang research reactor. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **1061**, 169061 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.169061>

7. C.B. Meng, W.W. Zhu, Z. Zhen et al., Multi-distortion suppression for neutron radiographic images based on generative adversarial network. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **35**, 81 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-024-01445-x>
8. H. Wu, Q. Gan, Y. Liu et al., A geometric unsharpness correction method for neutron photographic image based on improved Richardson-Lucy algorithm. *Nucl. Tech. (in Chinese)* **46**, 120203 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.11889/j.0253-3219.2023.hjs.46.120203>
9. X. Liu, X. Yu, H. Li et al., Physical design of conversion screens for thermal neutron transmission imaging. *Nucl. Tech. (in Chinese)* **46**, 110203 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.11889/j.0253-3219.2023.hjs.46.110203>
10. S. Wang, H. Li, X. Liu et al., Super field of view neutron imaging by fission neutrons elicited from research reactor. *Nucl. Tech. (in Chinese)* **46**, 030201 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.11889/j.0253-3219.2023.hjs.46.030201>
11. G.H. Wei, S. Han, H. Wang et al., Experience of the indirect neutron radiography method based on the X-ray imaging plate at CARR. *Phys. Proce.* **69**, 258–264 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.07.036>
12. Y. Wu, M. Yang, L. He et al., Sparse-view neutron CT reconstruction using a modified weighted total difference minimization method. *Appl. Sci.* **11**, 10942 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app112210942>
13. L.X. Zhang, S.Z. Chen, Z.D. Zhang et al., Resolution analysis of thermal neutron radiography based on accelerator-driven compact neutron source. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **34**, 76 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-023-01227-x>
14. Y. Liu, T.F. Zhu, Z. Luo et al., 3D robust anisotropic diffusion filtering algorithm for sparse view neutron computed tomography 3D image reconstruction. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **35**, 50 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-024-01405-5>
15. E.H. Lehmann, S. Peetermans, L. Josic et al., Energy-selective neutron imaging with high spatial resolution and its impact on the study of crystalline-structured materials. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **735**, 102–109 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2013.08.065>
16. E.H. Lehmann, W. Wagner, Neutron imaging at PSI: a promising tool in materials science and technology. *Appl. Phys. A* **99**, 627–634 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-010-5606-3>
17. H. Li, C. Cao, H. Huo et al., Inspection of the hydrogen gas pressure with metal shield by cold neutron radiography at CMRR. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **851**, 10–14 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2017.01.031>
18. Y. Sun, Q.B. Wang, P.C. Li et al., Indirect neutron radiography experiment on dummy nuclear fuel rods for pressurized water reactors at CMRR. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **35**, 189 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-024-01534-x>
19. B. Song, I. Dhiman, J.C. Carothers et al., Dynamic lithium distribution upon dendrite growth and shorting revealed by operando neutron imaging. *ACS Energy Lett.* **4**, 2402–2408 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenenergylett.9b01652>
20. L. Wu, Y. Zhang, X. Yang et al., Neutron imaging of lithium concentration in $\text{LiNi}_{0.33}\text{Mn}_{0.33}\text{Co}_{0.33}\text{O}_2$ cathode. *J. Neutron Res.* **22**, 43–48 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3233/JNR-180071>
21. H. Zhou, K. An, S. Allu et al., Probing multiscale transport and inhomogeneity in a lithium-ion pouch cell using in situ neutron methods. *ACS Energy Lett.* **1**, 981–986 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenenergylett.6b00353>
22. L. Gao, S. Han, H. Ni et al., Application of neutron imaging in observing various states of matter inside lithium batteries. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **10**, nwad238 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwad238>
23. Z. Nie, S.P. Ong, D.S. Hussey et al., Probing transport limitations in thick sintered battery electrodes with neutron imaging. *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.* **5**, 245–256 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9ME00084D>
24. S. Xue, P. Zhang, E.H. Lehmann et al., Neutron radiography of water exchange across the interface between old and fresh mortar. *Measurement* **183**, 109882 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.109882>
25. Y. Zhao, S. Xue, S. Han et al., Characterization of unsaturated diffusivity of tight sandstones using neutron radiography. *Int. J. Heat Mass Tran.* **124**, 693–705 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2018.03.090>
26. Y. Zhao, S. Xue, S. Han et al., Effects of microstructure on water imbibition in sandstones using X-ray computed tomography and neutron radiography. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* **122**, 4963–4981 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013786>
27. P. Zhang, F.H. Wittmann, P. Lura et al., Application of neutron imaging to investigate fundamental aspects of durability of cement-based materials: a review. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **108**, 152–166 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.03.003>
28. M. Realini, C. Colombo, C. Conti et al., Development of neutron imaging quantitative data treatment to assess conservation products in cultural heritage. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **409**, 6133–6139 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0550-0>
29. B. Schillinger, A. Beaudet, A. Fedrigo et al., Neutron imaging in cultural heritage research at the FRM II reactor of the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Center. *J. Imag.* **4**, 22 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging4010022>
30. C. Grünzweig, F. Pfeiffer, O. Bunk et al., Design, fabrication, and characterization of diffraction gratings for neutron phase contrast imaging. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 053703 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2930866>
31. J. Yang, J. Zhou, X. Jiang et al., A novel energy resolved neutron imaging detector based on a time stamping optical camera for the CSNS. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **1000**, 165222 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.165222>
32. J. Chen, Z. Tan, W. Liu et al., First neutron Bragg-edge imaging experimental results at CSNS. *Chin. Phys. B* **30**, 96106 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/ac0da7>
33. S. Peetermans, M. Tamaki, S. Hartmann et al., A new transmission based monochromator for energy-selective neutron imaging at the ICON beamline. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **757**, 28–32 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.04.033>
34. Z. Tan, J. Tang, H. Jing et al., Energy-resolved fast neutron resonance radiography at CSNS. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **889**, 122–128 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.01.099>
35. N. Kardjilov, I. Manke, M. Strobl et al., Three-dimensional imaging of magnetic fields with polarized neutrons. *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 399–403 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys912>
36. T. Negishi, T. Shinohara, H. Sato et al., Preliminary experiment of magnetic imaging using polarized pulsed neutrons at HUNS. *Phys. Proce.* **60**, 91–96 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2014.11.014>
37. A.S. Tremsin, N. Kardjilov, M. Strobl et al., Imaging of dynamic magnetic fields with spin-polarized neutron beams. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 043047 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043047>
38. I. Dhiman, R. Ziesche, T. Wang et al., Setup for polarized neutron imaging using in-situ ^3He cells at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory High Flux Isotope Reactor CG-1D beamline. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **88**, 095103 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5001525>
39. W. Treimer, O. Ebrahimi, N. Karakas et al., PONTON—An instrument for imaging with polarized neutrons. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **651**, 53–56 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2011.01.009>
40. T. Wang, C.Y. Jiang, H.Z. Bilheux et al., Improving polarized neutron imaging for visualization of the Meissner effect in

- superconductors. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **90**, 033705 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5053690>
41. W. Treimer, O. Ebrahimi, N. Karakas et al., Imaging of quantum mechanical effects in superconductors by means of polarized neutron radiography. *Phys. Proc.* **43**, 243–253 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2013.03.028>
 42. W. Treimer, O. Ebrahimi, N. Karakas et al., Polarized neutron imaging and three-dimensional calculation of magnetic flux trapping in bulk of superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 184522 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.184522>
 43. T. Shinohara, K. Sakai, M. Ohi et al., Quantitative magnetic field imaging by polarized pulsed neutrons at J-PARC. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **651**, 121–125 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2011.01.099>
 44. M. Sales, M. Strobl, T. Shinohara et al., Three dimensional polarimetric neutron tomography of magnetic fields. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 2214 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20461-7>
 45. I. Manke, N. Kardjilov, M. Strobl et al., Investigation of the skin effect in the bulk of electrical conductors with spin-polarized neutron radiography. *J. Appl. Phys.* **104**, 076109 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2992516>
 46. N. Kardjilov, A. Hilger, I. Manke et al., Imaging with polarized neutrons. *J. Imag.* **4**, 23 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jimag4010023>
 47. M. Strobl, H. Heimonen, S. Schmidt et al., Polarization measurements in neutron imaging. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **52**, 123001 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/aafa5e>
 48. A. Salman, J. Zhou, J. Yang et al., Development of time-of-flight polarized neutron imaging at the China spallation neutron source. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **39**, 062901 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/39/6/062901>
 49. S. Sasada, K. Hiroi, K. Osanai et al., High-spatial-resolution measurement of magnetization distribution using polarized neutron imaging. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **60**, 126003 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.35848/1347-4065/ac3524>
 50. A. Hilger, I. Manke, N. Kardjilov et al., Tensorial neutron tomography of three-dimensional magnetic vector fields in bulk materials. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4023 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06593-4>
 51. L. Chen, L. Sun, Q. Tian et al., Upgrade of a small-angle neutron scattering spectrometer Suanni of China Mianyang Research Reactor. *J. Instrum.* **13**, P08025 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/08/P08025>
 52. L. He, Z. Yu, M. Wu et al., Performance test on double-crystal graphite monochromator and its relative application. *Atomic Energy Sci. Technol.* **55**, 1124–1129 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.7538/yzk.2021.youxian.0276>
 53. C. Jiang, An overview of polarized neutron instruments and techniques in Asia Pacific. *AAPPS Bull.* **33**, 21 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43673-023-00093-4>
 54. A. Freund, R. Pynn, W.G. Stirling et al., Vertically focussing Heusler alloy monochromators for polarised neutrons. *Physica B+C* **120**, 86–90 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363\(83\)90345-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(83)90345-5)
 55. M. Schulz, P. Böni, C. Franz et al., Comparison of polarizers for neutron radiography. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **251**, 012068 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/251/1/012068>
 56. P. Cheng, H. Zhang, W. Bao et al., Design of the cold neutron triple-axis spectrometer at the China Advanced Research Reactor. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **821**, 17–22 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.03.045>
 57. C. Huang, J. Zhang, F. Ye et al., Development of a spin-exchange optical pumping-based polarized ^3He System at the China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS). *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **38**, 092801 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0256-307x/38/9/092801>
 58. H. Hayashida, T. Oku, H. Kira et al., Development of a ^3He nuclear spin flip system on an in-situ SEOP ^3He spin filter and demonstration for a neutron reflectometer and magnetic imaging technique. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **711**, 012007 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/711/1/012007>
 59. J. Zhang, C. Huang, Z.Z. Qin et al., In-situ optical pumping for polarizing ^3He neutron spin filters at the China Spallation Neutron Source. *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **65**, 241011 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-021-1876-0>
 60. Z. Qin, C. Huang, Z.N. Buck et al., Development of a ^3He gas filling station at the China Spallation Neutron Source. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **38**, 052801 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0256-307x/38/5/052801>
 61. L. Tian, A. Salman, C.Y. Huang et al., Developing time-of-flight polarized neutron capability at the China Spallation Neutron Source. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **34**, 146 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-023-01286-0>
 62. W.C. Chen, R. Erwin, P. Tsai et al., A large beam high efficiency radio frequency neutron spin flipper. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **92**, 063906 (2021)
 63. T.R. Gentile, P.J. Nacher, B. Saam et al., Optically polarized ^3He . *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 045004 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.045004>
 64. B. Chann, E. Babcock, L.W. Anderson et al., Production of highly polarized ^3He using spectrally narrowed diode laser array bars. *J. Appl. Phys.* **94**, 6908–6914 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1621739>
 65. L. Tian, C. Huang, Y. Dong et al., Development of polarized neutron techniques at the China Spallation Neutron Source. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2481**, 012008 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2481/1/012008>

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.