Antiproton-nucleus reactions at intermediate energies*

Alexei Borisovich Larionov^{1, 2, †}

¹Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Frankfurt am Main D-60438, Germany ²National Research Centre, Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia

(Received January 3, 2015; accepted in revised form January 19, 2015; published online April 20, 2015)

Antiproton-induced reactions on nuclei at the beam energies from hundreds MeV up to several GeV provide an excellent opportunity to study interactions between the antiproton and secondary particles (mesons, baryons and antibaryons) with nucleons. The antiproton projectile is unique in the sense that most of the annihilation particles are relatively slow in the target nucleus frame. Hence, the prehadronic effects do not much influence their interactions with the nucleons of the nuclear residue. Moreover, the particles with momenta less than about 1 GeV/c are sensitive to nuclear mean field potentials. This paper discusses the microscopic transport calculations of the antiproton-nucleus reactions and is focused on three related problems: (i) antiproton potential determination, (ii) possible formation of strongly bound antiproton-nucleus systems, and (iii) strangeness production.

Keywords: Antiproton-nucleus reaction, GiBUU model, Relativistic mean field, Optical potential, Compressed nuclear configuration

DOI: 10.13538/j.1001-8042/nst.26.S20506

I. MOTIVATION

It is difficult to produce antiproton beams. However, antiproton-nucleus interactions have attracted experimentalists and theorists since about 30 years when the KEK and LEAR data appeared. Since this time significant progress has been done to describe these data on the basis of optical and cascade models. Still, antiproton interactions inside nuclei need to be better understood. One example is the antiprotonnucleus optical potential. According to the low-density theorem, it can be expressed as

$$V_{\text{opt}} = -\frac{2\pi\sqrt{s}}{E_{\bar{p}}E_p} f_{\bar{p}p}(0)\rho , \qquad (1)$$

where at threshold $\sqrt{s} \simeq 2m_N$, $E_{\bar{p}} \simeq m_N$, $f_{\bar{p}p} \simeq (-0.9 + i0.9)$ fm [1]. Being extrapolated to the normal nuclear density $\rho_0 = 0.16$ fm⁻³, Eq.(1) predicts the repulsive antiproton-nucleus potential, Re $V_{\text{opt}} \simeq 75$ MeV. In contrast, the \bar{p} -atomic X-ray and radiochemical data analysis [2] favors the strongly attractive antiproton-nucleus potential, Re $V_{\text{opt}} \simeq -100$ MeV in the nuclear center. Thus the $\bar{p}A$ optical potential is not a simple superposition of vacuum $\bar{p}N$ interactions. The strongly attractive $\bar{p}A$ potential is consistent with the Relativistic Mean Field (RMF) models and has a consequence that a nucleus may collectively respond to the presence of an implanted antiproton. The formation of strongly bound \bar{p} -nuclei becomes possible [3, 4].

Another very interesting aspect is \bar{p} -annihilation in the nuclear interior. This results in a large energy deposition $\geq 2m_N$ in the form of mesons, mostly pions, in a volume of hadronic size $\sim 1 - 2$ fm [4, 5]. After the passage of annihilation hadrons through the nuclear medium a highly excited nuclear

Over the last decades, several microscopic transport models have been developed to describe particle production in $\bar{p}A$ interactions [6, 7, 11–13]. Nowadays there is a renaissance in this field, since the antiproton-nucleus reactions at $p_{\rm lab} \simeq 1.5 - 15 \,\text{GeV/c}$ will be a part of the PANDA experiment at FAIR. The most recent calculations are done within the Giessen Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (GiBUU) model [14–16] and within the Lanzhou quantum molecular dynamics (LQMD) model [17, 18]. In the present paper, I will report some results of the GiBUU calculations for \bar{p} -nucleus interactions at $p_{\rm lab} \simeq 1.5 - 15 \,\text{GeV/c}$.

II. GIBUU MODEL

The GiBUU model [19, 20] solves a coupled set of kinetic equations for baryons, antibaryons, and mesons. In a RMF mode, this set can be written as [21, 22]

$$(p^{*0})^{-1} \left[p^{*\mu} \partial_{\mu} + (p^{*}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\mu}_{j} + m^{*}_{j} \partial^{\alpha} m^{*}_{j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{*\alpha}} \right] f_{j}(x, \boldsymbol{p}^{*})$$

= $I_{j}[\{f\}]$, (2)

where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, x = (t, r); j = N, \overline{N}, \Delta, \overline{\Delta}, Y, \overline{Y}, \pi, K, \overline{K}$ etc.. $f_j(x, p^*)$ is the distribution function of the particles of sort *j* normalized such that the total number of particles of this sort is

$$\int \frac{g_j d^3 r d^3 p^*}{(2\pi)^3} f_j(x, \boldsymbol{p}^*) , \qquad (3)$$

^{*} Supported by HIC for FAIR within the framework of the LOEWE program † Corresponding author, larionov@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

residue can be formed and even experience explosive multifragment breakup [5, 6]. The annihilation of an antiproton at $p_{\text{lab}} <\sim 5 \text{ GeV/c}$ on a nuclear target gives an excellent opportunity to study the interactions of secondary particles (pions [7], kaons and hyperons [8], charmonia [9, 10]) with nucleons. This is because most annihilation hadrons are slow ($\gamma < 2$) and have short formation lengths. Thus their interactions are governed by usual hadronic cross sections.

Alexei Borisovich Larionov

with g_j being the spin degeneracy factor. The Vlasov term (the l.h.s. of Eq.(2)) describes the evolution of the distribution function in smooth mean field potentials. The collision term (the r.h.s. of Eq.(2)) accounts for elastic and inelastic binary collisions and resonance decays. The Vlasov term includes the effective (Dirac) mass $m_j^* = m_j + S_j$, where $S_j = g_{\sigma j}\sigma$ is a scalar field; the field tensor $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}V_j^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}V_j^{\mu}$, where $V_j^{\mu} = g_{\omega j}\omega^{\mu} + g_{\rho j}\tau^3\rho^{3\mu} + q_jA^{\mu}$ is a vector field, $\tau^3 = +1$ for p and \bar{n} , $\tau^3 = -1$ for \bar{p} and n; and the kinetic four-momentum $p^{*\mu} = p^{\mu} - V_j^{\mu}$ satisfying the effective mass shell condition $p^{*\mu}p_{\mu}^* = m_i^{*2}$.

In the present calculations, the nucleon-meson coupling constants $g_{\sigma N}, g_{\omega N}, g_{\rho N}$ and the self-interaction parameters of the σ -field have been adopted from a non-linear Walecka model in the NL3 parameterization [23]. The latter gives the compressibility coefficient K = 271.76 MeV and the nucleon effective mass $m_N^* = 0.60 m_N$ at $\rho = \rho_0$. The antinucleon-meson coupling constants have been determined as

$$g_{\omega\bar{N}} = -\xi g_{\omega N}, \ g_{\rho\bar{N}} = \xi g_{\rho N}, \ g_{\sigma\bar{N}} = \xi g_{\sigma N} , \qquad (4)$$

where $0 < \xi \le 1$ is a scaling factor. The choice $\xi = 1$ corresponds to the *G*-parity transformed nuclear potential. In this case, however, the Schrödinger equivalent potential becomes unphysically deep, $U_{\bar{N}} = -660$ MeV. The empirical choice of ξ will be discussed in the following section.

$$U_{\bar{N}} = S_{\bar{N}} + V_{\bar{N}}^{0} + \frac{(S_{\bar{N}})^2 - (V_{\bar{N}}^{0})^2}{2m_N}$$
(5)

The GiBUU collision term³ includes the following channels: (notations: B – nonstrange baryon, R – nonstrange baryon resonance, Y – hyperon with S = -1, M – nonstrange meson):

- Baryon-baryon collisions: elastic (EL) and charge-exchange (CEX) scattering $BB \rightarrow BB$; s-wave pion production/absorption⁴ $NN \leftrightarrow NN\pi$; $NN \leftrightarrow \Delta\Delta$; $NN \leftrightarrow NR$; $N(\Delta, N^*)N(\Delta, N^*) \rightarrow N(\Delta)YK$; $YN \rightarrow YN$; $\Xi N \rightarrow \Lambda\Lambda$; $\Xi N \rightarrow \Lambda\Sigma$; $\Xi N \rightarrow \Xi N$. For invariant energies $\sqrt{s} > 2.6$ GeV the inelastic production $B_1B_2 \rightarrow B_3B_4$ (+ mesons) is simulated via the PYTHIA model.
- Antibaryon-baryon collisions:

annihilation $\bar{B}B \rightarrow \text{mesons}^5$; EL and CEX scattering $\bar{B}B \rightarrow \bar{B}B$; $\bar{N}N \leftrightarrow \bar{N}\Delta$ (+ c.c.); $\bar{N}N \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$; $\bar{N}(\bar{\Delta})N(\bar{\Delta}) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Sigma$ (+ c.c.); $\bar{N}(\bar{\Delta})N(\Delta) \rightarrow \bar{\Xi}\Xi$. For invariant energies $\sqrt{s} > 2.4$ GeV (i.e. $p_{\text{lab}} > 1.9$ GeV/c for $\bar{N}N$) the inelastic production $\bar{B}_1B_2 \rightarrow \bar{B}_3B_4$ (+ mesons) is simulated via the FRITIOF model.

• Meson-baryon collisions:

 $MN \leftrightarrow R$ (baryon resonance excitations and decays, e.g., $\pi N \leftrightarrow \Delta$ and $\bar{K}N \leftrightarrow Y^*$); $\pi(\rho)\Delta \leftrightarrow R$; $\pi N \to \pi N$; $\pi N \to \pi \pi N$; $\pi N \to \eta \Delta$; $\pi N \to \omega N$; $\pi N \to \phi N$; $\pi N \to \omega \pi N$; $\pi N \to \phi \pi N$; $\pi (\eta, \rho, \omega)N \to YK$; $\pi N \to K\bar{K}N$; $\pi N \to YK\pi$; $\pi \Delta \to YK$; $KN \to KN$ (EL, CEX); $\bar{K}N \to \bar{K}N$ (EL, CEX); $\bar{K}N \leftrightarrow Y\pi$; $\bar{K}N \leftrightarrow Y^*\pi$; $\bar{K}N \to \Xi K$.

At $\sqrt{s} > 2.2$ GeV the inelastic meson-baryon collisions are simulated via PYTHIA.

• Meson-meson collisions:

 $M_1M_2 \leftrightarrow M_3$ (meson resonance excitations and decays, e.g., $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \rho$ and $K\pi \leftrightarrow K^*$); $M_1M_2 \leftrightarrow K\overline{K}$, $M_1M_2 \leftrightarrow K\overline{K}^*$ (+ c.c.).

III. ANTIPROTON ABSORPTION AND ANNIHILATION ON NUCLEI

Without a mean field acting on an antiproton, the GiBUU model is expected to reproduce a simple Glauber model result for the \bar{p} -absorption cross section on a nucleus (left, Fig. 1):

$$\sigma_{\rm abs}^{\rm Glauber} = \int d^2 b \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\sigma}_{\rm tot} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \rho(b, z)} \right), \qquad (6)$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{tot}$ is the isospin-averaged total $\overline{p}N$ cross section. The



Fig. 1. Left panel – the straight-line propagation of an antiproton in the absence of a mean field. Right panel – an illustration of the curved trajectory of an antiproton due to an attractive mean field.

attractive mean field bends the \bar{p} trajectory to the nucleus (right, Fig. 1). Thus, the absorption cross section should increase.

Figure 2 shows the GiBUU calculations of antiproton absorption cross sections on ¹²C, ²⁷Al and ⁶⁴Cu in comparison with experimental data [26–29] and with the Glauber formula (6). Indeed, GiBUU calculations without mesonic components of the \bar{p} mean field, i.e., with scaling factor $\xi = 0$, are very close to Eq.(6) at $p_{\text{lab}} > 0.3 \text{ GeV/c}$. At a lower p_{lab} , the Coulomb potential makes the difference between GiBUU ($\xi = 0$) and Glauber results. Including the mesonic components of the \bar{p} mean field, ($\xi > 0$) noticeably increases the absorption cross section at $p_{\text{lab}} < 3 \text{ GeV/c}$. The best fit of the KEK data [26] at $p_{\text{lab}} = 470 - 880 \text{ MeV/c}$ is reached with $\xi = 0.21 \pm 0.03$. This produces the real part of the antiprotonnucleus optical potential Re $V_{\text{opt}} \equiv U_{\bar{p}} \simeq -(150 \pm 30)$ MeV at

³ The GiBUU code is constantly developing. Thus the actual version may include more channels. This description approximately corresponds to the release 1.4.0.

⁴ Implemented in a non-RMF mode only.

⁵ Described with a help of the statistical annihilation model [24, 25].



Fig. 2. (Color online) Antiproton absorption cross section on the ¹²C, ²⁷Al, and ⁶⁴Cu nuclei vs the beam momentum. The GiBUU results are shown by the lines marked with the value of a scaling factor ξ . Thin solid lines represent the Glauber model calculation, Eq.(6). For the \bar{p} +¹²C system, a calculation with $\xi = 0$ without annihilation is shown by the dotted line.

 $\rho = \rho_0$. The corresponding imaginary part is

$$\mathrm{Im}V_{\mathrm{opt}} = -\frac{1}{2} < v_{\bar{p}N}\overline{\sigma}_{\mathrm{tot}} > \rho \;. \tag{7}$$

At $\rho = \rho_0$ this gives $\text{Im}V_{\text{opt}} \simeq -(100 - 110)$ MeV independent on the choice of ξ . It is interesting that the BNL [27] and Serpukhov [28] data at $p_{\text{lab}} = 1.6 - 20$ GeV/c favor $\xi = 1$, i.e. Re $V_{\text{opt}} \simeq -660$ MeV at $\rho = \rho_0$. This discrepancy needs to be clarified, which could be possibly done at FAIR.

Figure 3 displays the calculated momentum spectra of positive pions and protons for antiproton interactions at $p_{\text{lab}} = 608 \text{ MeV/c}$ with the carbon and uranium targets. GiBUU reproduces a quite complicated shape of the pion spectra which appears due to the underlying $\pi N \leftrightarrow \Delta$ dynamics. The absolute normalization of the spectra is weakly sensitive to the \bar{p} mean field. The best agreement is reached for $\xi = 0.3$, i.e., for ReV_{opt} $\simeq -(220 \pm 70)$ MeV.

IV. SELFCONSISTENCY EFFECTS

The strong attraction of an antiproton to the nucleus has to influence on the nucleus itself. This back coupling effect can



Fig. 3. (Color online) Momentum differential cross sections of π^+ and *p* production in \bar{p} annihilation at 680 MeV/c on ¹²C and ²³⁸U. The different lines are denoted by the value of a scaling factor ξ . The data points are from [30].

be taken into account by including the antinucleon contributions in the source terms of the Lagrange equations for ω -, ρ -, and σ -fields

$$(\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} + m_{\omega}^{2})\omega^{\nu}(x) = \sum_{j=N,\bar{N}} g_{\omega j} \langle \bar{\psi}_{j}(x)\gamma^{\nu}\psi_{j}(x)\rangle, \qquad (8)$$

$$(\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} + m_{\rho}^2)\rho^{3\nu}(x) = \sum_{j=N,\bar{N}} g_{\rho j} \langle \bar{\psi}_j(x)\gamma^{\nu}\tau^3\psi_j(x)\rangle, \qquad (9)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\sigma(x) + \frac{dU(\sigma)}{d\sigma} = -\sum_{j=N,\bar{N}} g_{\sigma j} \langle \bar{\psi}_j(x)\psi_j(x) \rangle,$$
 (10)

with $U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3}g_2\sigma^3 + \frac{1}{4}g_3\sigma^4$, or, in other words, by treating the meson fields selfconsistently. As follows from Eqs. (4) and (8)–(10), nucleons and antinucleons contribute with the opposite sign to the source terms of the vector fields ω and ρ , and with the same sign – to the source term of the scalar field σ . Hence, repulsion is reduced and attraction is enhanced in the presence of an antiproton in the nucleus.

Figure 4 shows the density profiles of nucleons and an antiproton at different times when the \bar{p} implanted at t = 0 in the center of the ⁴⁰Ca nucleus. As a consequence of the pure Vlasov dynamics of the coupled antiproton-nucleus system (annihilation is turned off), both the nucleon and the antipro-

Alexei Borisovich Larionov

ton densities grow quite fast. At $t \sim 10$ fm/c the compressed state is already formed, and the system starts to oscillate around the new equilibrium density $\rho \simeq 2\rho_0$.



Fig. 4. (Color online) The density of nucleons (thick lines) and antiproton (thin lines) as a function of coordinate on *z*-axis drawn through the nuclear center (z = 0).

Figure 5 displays the time evolution of the central nucleon density. The \bar{p} annihilation is simulated at the time t_{ann} . The $t_{ann} = 0$ corresponds to the usual annihilation of a stopped \bar{p} in the nuclear center. In this case, the nucleon density remains close to the ground state density. However, if the annihilation is simulated in a compressed configuration ($t_{ann} > 0$), then the residual nuclear system expands. Eventually the system reaches the low-density spinodal region ($\rho <\sim 0.6\rho_0$), where the sound velocity squared $c_s^2 = \partial P / \partial \rho_{|s=CONST}$ becomes negative⁶. This should result in the breakup of the residual nuclear system into fragments.

A possible observable signal of the \bar{p} annihilation in a compressed nuclear configuration is the total invariant mass M_{inv} of emitted mesons

$$M_{\rm inv}^2 = \left(\sum_i p_i\right)^2 \,. \tag{11}$$

For the annihilation of a stopped antiproton on a proton at rest in a vacuum, $M_{inv} = 2m_N$. In a nuclear medium, the proton and antiproton vector fields largely cancel each other⁷. Therefore, it is expected that in nuclear medium the peak will



Fig. 5. (Color online) The central nucleon density as a function of time. The annihilation of \bar{p} with the closest nucleon into mesons is simulated at the time moment t_{ann} as indicated. The calculations without annihilation and for the ground state nucleus (without \bar{p}) are also shown.

appear at $M_{\rm inv} \simeq 2m_N^*$. This simple picture is illustrated by GiBUU calculations in Fig. 6. In calculations where $t_{\rm ann} = 0$, we clearly see a sharp medium-modified peak shifted downwards by $\simeq 200 \,\text{MeV}$ from $2m_N$. The final state interactions of mesons create a broad maximum at $M_{\rm inv} \simeq 1$ GeV. For annihilation in compressed configurations ($t_{\rm ann} = 10$ and 60 fm/c), the total spectrum further shifts by about 100 MeV to the smaller $M_{\rm inv}$. This effect becomes stronger with the decreasing mass of the target nucleus (e.g., for ¹⁶O the spectrum shift is nearly 500 MeV [14]).



Fig. 6. (Color online) Annihilation event spectrum on the total invariant mass (11) of emitted mesons. Calculations are done for three different values of annihilation time t_{ann} .

⁶ Here, P is the pressure and s is the entropy per nucleon.

⁷ The cancellation is exact for the antiproton vector fields obtained by the *G*-parity transformation from the respective proton vector fields, i.e. when $\xi = 1$.

V. STRANGENESS PRODUCTION

Originally, the main motivation of experiments on strangeness production in antiproton-nucleus collisions was to find signs of unusual phenomena, in-particular, a multinucleon annihilation and/or a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) formation. In Ref. [31], the cold QGP formation has been suggested to explain the unusually large ratio $\Lambda/K_s^0 \simeq 2.4$ measured in the reaction \bar{p}^{181} Ta at 4 GeV/c [32]. On the other hand, in Refs. [8, 11, 16–18, 33–35] most features of strangeness production in $\bar{p}A$ reactions have been explained by hadronic mechanisms.

Figure 7 presents the rapidity spectrum of $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$ hyperons, K_S^0 mesons and $(\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{\Sigma}^0)$ antihyperons for the collisions $\bar{p}(4 \text{ GeV/c})^{181}$ Ta in comparison with the data [36] and the intranuclear cascade (INC) calculations [11]. The GiBUU model underpredicts hyperon yields at small forward rapidities $y \approx 0.5$ and overpredicts K_S^0 yields. In the GiBUU calculation without hyperon-nucleon scattering, the $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$ spectrum is shifted to forward rapidities. However, the problem of underpredicted total $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$ yield remains. A more detailed analysis [16] shows that 72% of Y and Y^* production rates in GiBUU are due to the antikaon absorption processes $\bar{K}B \to YX, \bar{K}B \to Y^*$, and $\bar{K}B \to Y^*\pi$. The second largest contribution, 23% of the rate, is caused by the nonstrange meson - baryon collisions. The antibaryon-baryon (including the direct $\bar{p}N$ channel) and baryon-baryon collisions contribute only 3% and 2%, respectively, to the same rate. The underprediction of the hyperon yield in GiBUU could be due to the used partial $\bar{K}N$ cross sections, in-particular, due to the problematic $K^{-}n$ channel⁸. The possible in-medium enhancement of the hyperon production in antikaon-baryon collisions is also not excluded.

As shown in Fig. 8, at higher beam momenta the agreement between the calculations and the data on neutral strange particle production becomes visibly better. The exception is again the region of small forward rapidities $y \simeq 0.5$ where both GiBUU and INC calculations underpredict the $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$ yield.

Finally, let us discuss the Ξ (S = -2) hyperon production. The direct production of Ξ in the collision of nonstrange particles would require to produce two $s\bar{s}$ pairs simultaneously. Thus, Ξ production could be even stronger enhanced in a QGP as compared to the enhancement for the S = -1 hyperons. Fig. 9 shows the rapidity spectra of the different strange particles in \bar{p}^{197} Au collisions at 15 GeV/c. Even at such a high beam momentum, the S = -1 hyperon spectra still have a flat maximum at $y \approx 0$ due to *exothermic* strangeness exchange reactions $\bar{K}N \rightarrow Y\pi$ with slow \bar{K} . In contrast, the second largest, $\sim 18\%$, contribution to the Ξ production is given by *endothermic* double strangeness exchange reactions $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Xi K^{-9}$. Since the threshold beam momentum of \bar{K} for Nucl. Sci. Tech. 26, S20506 (2015)



Fig. 7. (Color online) Rapidity spectra of $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$, K_S^0 , and $(\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{\Sigma}^0)$ from \bar{p}^{181} Ta collisions at 4 GeV/c. See text for details.

the process $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Xi K$ is 1.05 GeV/c, which corresponds to the $\bar{K}N$ c.m. rapidity of 0.55, the rapidity spectra of Ξ 's are shifted forward with respect to the Λ rapidity spectra. However, in the QGP fireball scenario [31], the rapidity spectra of all strange particles would be peaked at the same rapidity.

VI. SUMMARY

This work was focused on the dynamics of a coupled antiproton-nucleus system and the strangeness production in

⁸ The K^-n channel has been improved in recent GiBUU releases, however, after the present calculations were already done.

 $^{^9}$ The main, $\sim~24\%,$ contribution to the total yield of \varXi 's at 15 GeV/c is

given by $\Xi^* \to \Xi \pi$ decays. The direct channel $\bar{N}N \to \bar{\Xi}\Xi$ contributes ~ 10% only.



Fig. 8. (Color online) Rapidity spectra of Λ , K_S^0 and $\bar{\Lambda}$ from \bar{p}^{64} Cu collisions at 8.8 GeV/c. The data and INC calculations are from Ref. [33].

 $\bar{p}A$ interactions. The calculations were based on the GiBUU transport model. The main results can be summarized as:

- The reproduction of experimental data on $\bar{p}A$ absorption cross sections at $p_{\text{lab}} < 1 \text{ GeV/c}$ and on π^+ and p production at $p_{\text{lab}} = 608 \text{ MeV/c}$ requires a strongly at-
- Batty C J, Friedman E and Gal A. Strong interaction physics from hadronic atoms. Phys Rep, 1997, 287: 385–445. DOI:
- 10.1016/S0370-1573(97)00011-2
 [2] Friedman E, Gal A and Mareš J. Antiproton nucleus potentials from global fits to antiprotonic X-rays and radio-
- chemical data. Nucl Phys A, 2005, **761**: 283–295. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.08.001
- [3] Bürvenich T, Mishustin I N, Satarov L M, et al. Enhanced binding and cold compression of nuclei due to admixture

tractive $\bar{p}A$ optical potential, $\text{Re}V_{\text{opt}} \simeq -(150 - 200)$ MeV at $\rho = \rho_0$.

- As a response of a nucleus to the presence of an antiproton, the nucleon density can be increased up to $\rho \sim (2-3)\rho_0$ locally near \bar{p} . Annihilation of the \bar{p} in such a compressed configuration can manifest itself in the multifragment breakup of the residual nuclear system and in the substantial (~ 300-500 MeV) shift of an annihilation event spectrum on the total invariant mass of produced mesons $M_{\rm inv}$ toward low $M_{\rm inv}$.
- GiBUU describes the data on inclusive pion and proton production fairly well. Still, the strangeness produc-



Fig. 9. (Color online) The rapidity spectra of $(\Lambda + \Sigma^0)$, K_S^0 , $(\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{\Sigma}^0)$, Ξ^- , and Ξ^0 from \bar{p}^{197} Au collisions at 15 GeV/c.

tion remains to be better understood (overestimated K_S^0 - and underestimated ($\Lambda + \Sigma^0$) - production).

• Ξ hyperon forward rapidity shift with respect to Λ is suggested as a test of hadronic and QGP mechanisms of strangeness production in $\bar{p}A$ reactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Gaitanos T, Greiner W, Mishustin I N, Mosel U, Pshenichnov I A, and Satarov L M for the collaboration on the GiBUU studies of $\bar{p}A$ reactions.

of anti-baryons. Phys Lett B, 2002, **542**: 261–267. DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02351-1

- [4] Mishustin I N, Satarov L M, Bürvenich T J, et al. Antibaryons bound in nuclei. Phys Rev C, 2005, 71: 035201. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.71.035201
- [5] Rafelski J. p̄ annihilation on heavy nuclei. Phys Lett B, 1980, 91: 281–284. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(80)90450-5
- [6] Cugnon J and Vandermeulen J. Transfer of energy following *p*-annihilation on nuclei. Nucl Phys A, 1985, 445: 717–736.

ANTIPROTON-NUCLEUS REACTIONS AT ...

Nucl. Sci. Tech. 26, S20506 (2015)

DOI: 10.1016/0375-9474(85)90568-8

- [7] Iljinov A S, Nazaruk V I and Chigrinov S E. Nuclear absorption of stopped antiprotons: Multipion-nucleus interactions. Nucl Phys A, 1982, **382**: 378–400. DOI: 10.1016/0375-9474(82)90352-9
- [8] Ko C M and Yuan R. Lambda production from anti-proton annihilation in nuclei. Phys Lett B, 1987, **192**: 31–34. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(87)91136-1
- [9] Brodsky S J and Mueller A H. Using nuclei to probe hadronization in QCD. Phys. Lett. B, 1988, 206: 685–690. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)90719-8
- [10] Farrar G R, Liu H, Frankfurt L L, et al. Transparency in nuclear quasiexclusive processes with large momentum transfer. Phys Rev Lett, 1988, 61: 686–689. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.686
- [11] Cugnon J, Deneye P and Vandermeulen J. Strangeness production in antiproton annihilation on nuclei. Phys Rev C, 1990, 41: 1701–1718. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.41.1701
- [12] Strottman D and Gibbs W R. High nuclear temperatures by antimatter-matter annihilation. Phys Lett B, 1984, 149: 288– 292. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)90408-8
- [13] Gibbs W R and Kruk J W. Strangeness production in antiproton-tantalum interactions at 4 GeV/c. Phys Lett B, 1990, 237: 317–322. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(90)91181-A
- [14] Larionov A B, Mishustin I N, Satarov L M, et al. Dynamical simulation of bound antiproton-nuclear systems and observable signals of cold nuclear compression. Phys Rev C, 2008, 78: 014604. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.78.014604
- [15] Larionov A B, Pshenichnov I A, Mishustin I N, et al. Antiproton-nucleus collisions simulation within a kinetic approach with relativistic mean fields. Phys Rev C, 2009, 80: 021601. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.80.021601
- [16] Larionov A B, Gaitanos T and Mosel U. Kaon and hyperon production in antiproton-induced reactions on nuclei. Phys Rev C, 2012, 85: 024614. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.85.024614
- [17] Feng Z Q and Lenske H. Particle production in antiprotoninduced nuclear reactions. Phys Rev C, 2014, 89: 044617. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044617
- [18] Feng Z Q. Nuclear dynamics induced by antiprotons. Nucl Sci Tech, 2015, 26: S20512. DOI: 10.13538/j.1001-8042/nst.26.S20512
- Buss O, Gaitanos T, Gallmeister K, *et al.* Transport-theoretical description of nuclear reactions. Phys Rep, 2012, **512**: 1–124.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2011.12.001
- [20] The GiBUU project. https://gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki
- [21] Ko C M, Li Q and Wang R C. Relativistic Vlasov equation for heavy ion collisions. Phys Rev Lett, 1987, 59: 1084–1087. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1084
- [22] Blättel B, Koch V and Mosel U. Transport-theoretical analysis of relativistic heavy-ion collisions. Rep Prog Phys, 1993, 56: 1–62. DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/56/1/001

- [23] Lalazissis G A, König J and Ring P. New parametrization for the Lagrangian density of relativistic mean field theory. Phys Rev C, 1997, 55: 540–543. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.55.540
- [24] Golubeva E S, Iljinov A S, Krippa B V, et al. Effects of mesonic resonance production in annihilation of stopped antiprotons on nuclei. Nucl Phys A, 1992, 537: 393–417. DOI: 10.1016/0375-9474(92)90362-N
- [25] Pshenichnov I A. Statistical description of reactions of multiple meson production on nuclei. Ph.D. thesis, INR, Moscow, 1998.
- [26] Nakamura K, Chiba J, Fujii T, *et al.* Absorption and forward scattering of antiprotons by C, Al, and Cu nuclei in the region 470–880 MeV/c. Phys Rev Lett, 1984, **52**: 731–734. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.731
- [27] Abrams R J, Cool R L, Giacomelli G, et al. Absorption cross sections of K[±] and p̄ on carbon and copper in the region 1.0–3.3 GeV/c. Phys Rev D, 1971, 4: 3235–3244. DOI: 10.1103/Phys-RevD.4.3235
- [28] Denisov S P, Donskov S V, Gorin Yu P, et al. Absorption cross sections for pions, kaons, protons and antiprotons on complex nuclei in the 6 to 60 GeV/c momentum range. Nucl Phys B, 1973, 61: 62–76. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(73)90351-9
- [29] Carroll A S, Chiang I H, Kycia T F, *et al.* Absorption cross section of π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , *p* and \bar{p} on nuclei between 60 and 280 GeV/c. Phys Lett B, 1979, **80**: 319–322. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(79)90226-0
- [30] Mcgaughey P L, Bol K D, Clover M R, *et al.* Dynamics of low-energy antiproton annihilation in nuclei as inferred from inclusive proton and pion measurements. Phys Rev Lett, 1986, 56: 2156–2159. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2156
- [31] Rafelski J. Quark-gluon plasma in 4 GeV/c antiproton annihilations on nuclei. Phys Lett B, 1988, 207: 371–376. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)90666-1
- [32] Miyano K, Noguchi Y, Fukawa M, et al. Evaporation of neutral strange particles in p-Ta at 4 GeV/c. Phys Rev Lett, 1984, 53: 1725 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.1725
- [33] Ahmad S, Bonner B E, Buchanan J A, *et al.* Strangeness production in antiproton nucleus interactions. Nucl Phys B (Proc Suppl), 1997, 56A: 118–121. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5632(97)00262-4
- [34] Gaitanos T, Larionov A B, Lenske H, *et al.* Formation of double-Λ hypernuclei at PANDA. Nucl Phys A, 2012, 881: 240–254. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2011.12.010
- [35] Gaitanos T and Lenske H. Production of multi-strangeness hypernuclei and the YN-interaction. Phys Lett B, 2014, 737: 256– 261. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2014.08.056
- [36] Miyano K, Noguchi Y, Yoshimura Y, *et al.* Neutral strange particle production and inelastic cross section in \bar{p} +Ta reaction at 4 GeV/c. Phys Rev C, 1988, **38**: 2788–2798. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.38.2788