Calculations of ⁸⁶Y production *via* various nuclear reactions

SADEGHI Mahdi^{1,*} ZALI Akram² MOKHTARI Leila³

¹ Agricultural, Medical & Industrial Research School, P.O. Box: 31485-498, Gohardast, Karaj, Iran, ² Faculty of Engineering, Research and Science Campus, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran ³ Physics Faculty, University of Zanjan, P.O. Box: 45195/313, Zanjan, Iran

Abstract This study obtains a suitable reaction to produce ⁸⁶Y. The ⁸⁶Y excitation functions *via* ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y, ⁸⁶Sr(d,2n)⁸⁶Y, ⁸⁵Rb(³He,2n)⁸⁶Y and ⁸⁵Rb(α ,3n)⁸⁶Y reactions were calculated by TALYS-1.0 code and compared with the reported measurement. Requisite thickness of targets was obtained by SRIM code for each reaction. The ⁸⁶Y production yield was evaluated with attention to excitation function and stopping power. The ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y reaction was determined as most interesting one due to its highest production yield and advantages to get high radionuclide and radiochemical purity.

Key words Yttrium-86, Excitation function, Target thickness, Production yield

1 Introduction

Yttrium-86 can be used as a PET imaging surrogate for 90 Y. It has a half-life of 14.74 h and decays by 66% electron capture and 34% positron emission to ⁸⁶Sr emitting simultaneously γ -rays of 1076.6 (82%), 1153.2 (30.5%) and 627.8 (32.6%)^[1-4]. Production of ⁸⁶Y has been studied via the ⁸⁶Sr(p,xn)^[5,6], ${}^{85}\text{Rb}({}^{3}\text{He},xn)^{[6]}$ and ${}^{85}\text{Rb}(\alpha,xn)^{[7,8]}$ reactions. The excitation function measured in 1991^[5] via the ⁸⁶Sr(p,xn) process in 7.7–29.5 MeV showed the maximum cross section of 810 mb at 14.8 MeV. Rosch F, et $al^{[6]}$ found that the (p,n)-reaction leading to the formation of ⁸⁶Y at a threshold energy of about 6 MeV. And also in Ref.[6] they reported the nuclear data relevant to the ⁸⁶Y production via the ⁸⁵Rb(³He,2n) reaction, which starts contributing at 8 MeV, with a maximum in cross section at about 18 MeV. They reported values of 270 mb for the natural isotopic composition, corresponding to 370 mb for extrapolation of ⁸⁵Rb enrichment to 100%. The experimental nuclear data related to the 85 Rb(α ,3n) 86 Y was reported by Iwata S^[8], Levkovskij V N^[5] and Guin R, et $al^{[7]}$. Their works show the maximum cross sections of about 640 mb at 36 MeV, 1020 mb at 41 MeV and 654 mb at 41 MeV, respectively.

2 Methods

2.1 Calculation of excitation function

Excitation functions of ⁸⁶Sr+p, ⁸⁶Sr+d, ⁸⁵Rb+³He and ⁸⁵Rb+ α reactions were calculated by using TALYS-1.0 code^[9,10]. To take full benefit of the related excitation functions and to minimize the undesired radionuclide impurities formation, incident proton energy should be achieved using TALYS-1.0 code. The physical thickness of the target layer is chosen, for a given beam/target angle geometry, to provide a light-particle exit energy. According to SRIM code^[11], the required thickness of target layer was calculated. It is advisable to minimize thickness of the target geometry. The calculated thicknesses are shown for ideal reactions in Table 1.

In this work, adequate reactions for ⁸⁶Y production in a cyclotron were investigated using TALYS-1.0 code and compared with the experimental data. The essential thickness of target material and the ⁸⁶Y production yield were evaluated. Finally, the suitable reaction was determined to take full benefit of excitation function and to avoid the formation of radionuclidic impurities.

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* msadeghi@nrcam.org Received date: 2009-03-31

Reaction	⁸⁶ Sr(p,n) ⁸⁶ Y	⁸⁶ Sr(d,2n) ⁸⁶ Y	⁸⁵ Rb(³ He,2n) ⁸⁶ Y
Energy range / MeV	15→4	13→5	15→27
Target thickness / µm	42.95	30.20	100.54

 Table 1
 Required thickness for ⁸⁶Y production

2.2 Calculation of theoretical yield

The production yield Y (in Bq) can be calculated by Eq.(1).

$$Y = \frac{N_{\rm L}H}{M} I(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \int_{E_{\rm I}}^{E_{\rm 2}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}(\rho x)}\right)^{-1} \sigma(E) \mathrm{d}E \quad (1)$$

where $N_{\rm L}$ is Avogadro number, *H* is isotope abundance of the target nuclide, *M* is the mass number of the target element, $\sigma(E)$ is the cross section at energy *E*, *I* is the projectile current, $dE/d(\rho x)$ is the stopping power, λ is the decay constant of the product and *t* is the time of irradiation. The production yield of ⁸⁶Sr *via* several reactions was calculated using the Simpson numerical integral as Eq.(1) (Table 2).

 Table 2
 ⁸⁶Y production yield for various reactions

Reaction	⁸⁶ Sr(p,n) ⁸⁶ Y	⁸⁶ Sr(d,2n) ⁸⁶ Y	⁸⁵ Rb(³ He,2n) ⁸⁶ Y
Energy range	6-15	15–19	10-15
/MeV			
Theoretical	215.49	91.68	1.76
yield, TALYS			
code			
$/MBq{\cdot}\mu A^{-1}{\cdot}h^{-1}$			

3 Results

3.1 Excitation function of ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y reaction

Strontium carbonate targets have been used for producing ⁸⁶Y^[1-4]. Excitation functions calculated by TALYS-1.0 code are shown in Fig.1 at different decay channels after proton bombardment of enriched strontium (isotopic abundance of ⁸⁶Sr is 9.86%). The reactions lead to the formation of ⁸⁵Y, ^{84/85/86}Sr, and ⁸³Rb impurities. Although the separation of isotopic contaminations is not impossible by virtue of chemical methods, non-isotopic impurities can be separated in this way. As seen in Fig.1, the optimum bombarding energy range is 6–30 MeV, with the maximum cross

section of 873 mb at 15 MeV. However, the threshold energy of ⁸⁵Y is also 15 MeV. Despite of the shorter half-life of ⁸⁵Y than ⁸⁶Y, it has high cross section. The ⁸⁵Y production has to be avoided, and the incident proton energy must be about 15 MeV. ⁸⁶Sr, the only impurity produced in this energy range, can be separated by chemical methods.



Fig.1 Excitation function of ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y reaction.



Fig.2 Comparison of experimental data and TALYS-1.0 code for excitation function of ${}^{86}Sr(p,n){}^{86}Y$ reaction.

Fig.2 shows a comparison between the calculated cross section from TALYS code and the experimental data in Refs.[5,6]. The calculated data are in agreement with the experimental data. By virtue of SRIM code, the required target thickness should be 80 μ m. According to cross section data of TALYS-1.0 code and stopping power, the ⁸⁶Y production yield would be 215.49 MBq· μ A⁻¹·h⁻¹.

3.2 Excitation function of ⁸⁶Sr(d,2n)⁸⁶Y reaction

According to the TALYS code calculations, deuteron bombardment on enriched strontium target as

carbonate causes to produce ^{85/87}Y radionuclidic impurities (Fig.3).



Fig.3 Excitation function of 86 Sr(d,2n) 86 Y reaction.

The (d,2n)-reaction leads to the formation of ⁸⁶Y starts at a threshold energy of 8 MeV and reaches a maximum cross section of 691 mb at 19 MeV. If the incident energy is 19 MeV, the production of ⁸⁵Y will be avoided, but ⁸⁷Y ($t_{1/2}$ =79.8 d) impurity will be produced in all energy regions. To reduce the production of ⁸⁷Y, an energy range of 15–19 MeV was chosen and the production yield was calculated. The required target thickness should be 30 µm and ⁸⁶Y production yield is 91.68 MBq·µA⁻¹·h⁻¹ for cross section data of TALYS-1.0 code.

3.3 Excitation function of ⁸⁵Rb(3He,2n)⁸⁶Y reaction

To produce ⁸⁶Y by ⁸⁵Rb+³He reaction, rubidium has been employed as carbonate (isotopic abundance of ⁸⁵Rb is 72.16%). As shown in Fig.4, the radionuclidic impurities are ⁸⁷Y (3.35 d) and ⁸⁵Y (2.6 h). The maximum cross section of ⁸⁶Y is 294 mb at 16 MeV. The threshold energy of ⁸⁵Y production is 15 MeV. In order to prevent ⁸⁵Y production, the ³He incident energy should be less than 15 MeV. In 10–15 energy range, there is no radionuclide impurity with high cross section. The non-isotopic contaminate is ⁸⁶Sr (stable) and ⁸⁵Sr (64.8 d). In Fig.5 the calculated data is compared with experimental data in Ref.[6]. The TALYS prediction shows less values of cross section than the experimental data. According to SRIM code, the thickness has to be 8 μ m for 6° geometry and ⁸⁶Y production yield is 1.76 MBq· μ A⁻¹·h⁻¹.



Fig.4 Excitation function of ⁸⁵Rb(³He,2n)⁸⁶Y reaction.



Fig.5 Comparison of experimental data and TALYS-1.0 code for excitation function of 85 Rb(3 He,2n) 86 Y reaction.

3.4 Excitation function of 85 Rb(α ,3n) 86 Y reaction

Rubidium can be used as chloride to produce ⁸⁶Y via alpha bombardment. As seen in Fig.6, ⁸⁶Y production begins at 25 MeV and has a maximum cross section of 956 mb at 40 MeV. In all energy regions, the ⁸⁶Y production is accompanied with ^{87/88}Y impurities, which could not be separated by chemical methods. So there is no energy range at which ⁸⁶Y can be produced without long-life isotopic impurities. Other contaminations include ⁸⁵Sr (64.48 d), ⁸⁶Sr (stable), ⁸⁷Sr (stable), ⁸⁸Sr (stable), ⁸⁵Rb (stable) and ⁸⁴Rb (32.7 d). In Fig.7, the calculated data is agreement with the cross section value reported in Refs.[5,7,8].



Fig.6 Excitation function of 85 Rb(α ,3n) 86 Y reaction.



Fig.7 Comparison of experimental data and TALYS-1.0 code for excitation function of ${}^{85}\text{Rb}(\alpha,3n){}^{86}\text{Y}$ reaction.

4 Conclusion

The 86 Sr(d,2n) 86 Y and 85 Rb(3 He,2n) 86 Y reactions are not desirable to produce no-carrier-added of 86 Y

because of the production of isotopic impurities. The results of the calculations show that the production yield of ⁸⁶Y *via* ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y will be considerably as much than the other reactions. Production of ⁸⁶Y can be achieve by ⁸⁶Sr(p,n)⁸⁶Y ideal reaction for low energy cyclotrons.

References

- Garmestani K, Milenic D E, Plascjak P S, *et al.* Nucl Med Biol, 2002, 29: 599–606.
- 2 Park L S, Szajek L P, Wong K J, et al. Nucl Med Biol, 2004, 31: 297–301.
- 3 Rosch F, Qaim S M, Stocklin G. Appl Radiat Isotopes, 1993, 44: 677–681.
- Yoo J, Tang L, Perkins T A, *et al.* Nucl Med Biol, 2005,
 32: 891–897.
- 5 Levkovskij V N. Activation cross section nuclides of average masses (a=40-100) by protons and alpha-particles with average energies (E=10-50 MeV)", Levkovskij, Act. Cs. By Protons and Alphas, Moscow, 1991.
- 6 Rosch F, Qaim S M, Stocklin G. Radiochimica Acta, 1993,61: 1–8.
- 7 Guin R, Das S K, Saha S K. Radiochim Acta, 2000, 88: 435–438.
- 8 Iwata S. J Phys Soc Jpn, 1962, **17**: 1323–1333.
- 9 Koning A J, Hilarie S, Duijvestijn M. A nuclear reaction program. TALYS 1.0. NRC-Nuclear Research and Consultancy Group, 2007.
- 10 Koning A J, Hilaire S, Duijvestijn M C, TALYS: Comprehensive nuclear reaction modeling, 2005.
- 11 Ziegler J F, Biersack J P, Littmark U. The stopping and range of ions in matter, SRIM code, NY (USA), 2006.